IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 3236 of 2005

Between:

Rachakonda Vishnu Murthy S/o.Swamy
Chouttupal Mandal, Nalgonda District.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Chouttupalli Mandal, Nalgonda District.
2 Rachakonda Rama Chary S/o.Brahmaiah
R/o.Tangadapally V) of choutuppal Mandal, Nalgonda District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not taking steps to rectify the entries in the record of rights in Thangadapalli (V) Chouttuppal Mandal, Nalgonda Dist. in terms of the order dt.18.12.04 passed by the Joint Collector, Nalgonda, as illegal, and arbitrary, and consequently direct the respondent to make necessary rectification of the entries in the record of Right of Thangadapalli (v) Chouttuppal Mandal, Nalgonda Dist and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: A.YADAGIRI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the alleged inaction on the part of the first respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, in taking further steps in terms of the order of the Joint Collector, Nalgonda, dated 18-12-2004. The said order was passed by the Joint Collector, Nalgonda in a Revision Petition filed by the petitioner along with 8 others under Section 9 of the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971. The Joint Collector while disposing of the Revision Petition, issued certain directions for rectification of entries in Revenue Records and directed the 1st respondent herein to implement the said orders and report compliance.

The petitioner states that though the said order was passed on 18-12-2004, in spite of several representations made by the petitioner requesting to implement the directions of the Joint Collector, the first respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer failed to take any action. Hence, this writ petition.

Heard the leaned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

On a perusal of the material on record, I find force in the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the 1st respondent is bound to implement the order of the Joint Collector, Nalgonda, provided the said order is not varied or reversed by any competent Court or Statutory Authority.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, to take necessary steps in terms of the order of the Joint Collector, Nalgonda, dated
18-12-2004 expeditiously. No order as to costs.

_____

G. ROHINI, J

Dated: 23-02-2005

Note: Furnish C.C. in a week

B/o.

LSK

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Chouttupalli Mandal, Nalgonda District.
2 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

3 Two C.D. copies.